

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,292	11/13/2001	David James Squirrell	41577/266329	5898	
23370	7590 02/19/2003				
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ		EXAMINER			
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			LEARY, LOUISE N		
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, C	GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1			1654		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office And O	10/009,292	SQUIRRELL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Louise N. Leary	1654
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail of the second section is a second	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) <u></u>		— · is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
	on Papers	·	
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·
13)🛛 🖊	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☑All b) Some * c) None of:		
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priori- application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have be	en received in this National Stage
.14)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provex knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has	been received.
) Notice ( ) Notice ( ) Notice ( ) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	4) Intervie 5) Notice 6) Other:	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 1654

1. Claims 1-23 are pending in this application.

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the metes and bounds intended for the phrase "particular conditions" cannot be determined.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim 21 is indefinite because the metes and bounds intended for the phrase ". ..effecting a method according to claim 1..." cannot be determined. Alternatively, "effecting a method" is ambiguous. It is suggested that "effecting" be changed to ---performing--- to distinctly claim the subject matter claimed in the present invention.

Correction is required to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,292

Art Unit: 1654

(I) Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squirrell (US 5,648,232) in view of Webster's Dictionary (1984).

Squirrell discloses a method for detecting the presence and/or amount of microorganisms and/or its intracellular material present in a sample. Squirrell discloses performing the method by "...estimating the amount of adenylate kinase therein by its ability to convert adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to adenosine triphosphate and relating that to the presence and/or amount of microorganisms and/or their intracellular material. This conversion is enabled by adding ADP to samples. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is preferably detected by use of the luciferin/luciferase system to provide a photometrically detectable signal indicative of the amount of ATP in the sample." See column 1, lines 62-68 and column 2, lines 1-9. With respect to detecting ATP in the sample and relating that to the presence of lysed cells, Squirrell discloses adding detergents to the samples evaluated in the assay methods. See column 11, lines 32-68 and column 12, lines 11-16. The use of detergents in enzymatic assay methods for lysing microbial cells was well known in this art at the time this invention was made. Also, Squirrell discloses and addresses reaction conditions and environmental factors used in the enzymatic assay methods. See column 15, lines 15-68 and column 16, lines 1-68. Regarding the use of eukaryotic cells in enzymatic assay methods, Squirrell discloses "All living organisms utilize adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as a source of chemical energy and it is known to assay this using the ATP driven luciferase/luciferin reaction. Light generated by this enzymic reaction can be measured using a luminometer and related to the amount of ATP present." See column 1, lines 15-19. Thus, Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for addressing the use of eukaryotic cells.

Art Unit: 1654

However, with respect to addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in the instant assay method, Webster's Dictionary defines "microorganism" as "an animal or plant of microscopic size, esp. a bacterium or protozoan." Webster's Dictionary also defines "eukaryote" as "an organism having one or more cells with well-defined nuclei". It is noted that persons having ordinary skill in this art at the time this invention was made included viable nucleated cells in the definition of "microorganism". Therefore, Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the eukaryotic cells in the sample of the present invention.

Hence, Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for specifically addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in an enzymatic assay method, but, provides a general description of the use of the assay method for determining ATP in all organisms. In addition, Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the definition of eukaryotic cells or eukaryote organisms.

Thus, the Squirrell disclosure in view of Webster's Dictionary definitions of "microorganism" and "eukaryote" renders obvious the assay methods and kits of the present invention.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time this invention was made to provide an enzymatic assay method and kit for performing the assay method as claimed in the present invention because Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for specifically addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in an enzymatic assay method, but, generally describes the use of the assay method for determining ATP in all organisms and Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the definition of eukaryotic cells or eukaryote organisms which previously provided sufficient guidance to make the invention claimed herein. Therefore, the Squirrell disclosure in view of Webster's Dictionary definitions of "microorganism" and "eukaryote" previously rendered the present invention obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,292

Art Unit: 1654

4. (II) Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squirrell (US5,798,214) in view of Webster's Dictionary (1984).

Squirrell discloses a method for detecting the presence and/or amount of microorganisms and/or its intracellular material present in a sample. Squirrell discloses performing the method by "...estimating the amount of adenylate kinase therein by its ability to convert adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to adenosine triphosphate and relating that to the presence and/or amount of microorganisms and/or their intracellular material. This conversion is enabled by adding ADP to samples. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is preferably detected by use of the luciferin/luciferase system to provide a photometrically detectable signal indicative of the amount of ATP in the sample." See column 1, lines 7-68; column 2, lines 16-68; and column 3, lines 1-42. With respect to detecting ATP in the sample and relating that to the presence of lysed cells, Squirrell discloses adding detergents to the samples evaluated in the assay methods. See column7, lines 5-21. The use of detergents in enzymatic assay methods for lysing microbial cells was well known in this art at the time this invention was made. Also, Squirrell discloses and addresses reaction conditions and environmental factors used in the enzymatic assay methods. See columns 2-7, lines 1-68 and columns 9-10. Regarding the use of eukaryotic cells in enzymatic assay methods, Squirrell discloses "All living organisms utilize adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as a source of chemical energy and it is known to assay this using the ATP driven luciferase/luciferin reaction. Light generated by this enzymic reaction can be measured using a luminometer and related to the amount of ATP present." See column 1, lines 19-23. Thus, Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for addressing the use of eukaryotic cells.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,292

Art Unit: 1654

However, with respect to addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in the instant assay method, Webster's Dictionary defines "microorganism" as "an animal or plant of microscopic size, esp. a bacterium or protozoan." Webster's Dictionary also defines "eukaryote" as "an organism having one or more cells with well-defined nuclei". It is noted that persons having ordinary skill in this art at the time this invention was made included viable nucleated cells in the definition of "microorganism". Therefore, Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the eukaryotic cells in the sample of the present invention.

Hence, Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for specifically addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in an enzymatic assay method, but, provides a general description of the use of the assay method for determining ATP in all organisms. In addition, Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the definition of eukaryotic cells or eukaryote organisms.

Thus, the Squirrell disclosure in view of Webster's Dictionary definitions of "microorganism" and "eukaryote" renders obvious the assay methods and kits of the present invention.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time this invention was made to provide an enzymatic assay method and kit for performing the assay method as claimed in the present invention because Squirrell discloses the invention claimed except for specifically addressing the use of eukaryotic cells in an enzymatic assay method, but, generally describes the use of the assay method for determining ATP in all organisms and Webster's definition of "microorganism" encompasses the definition of eukaryotic cells or eukaryote organisms which previously provided sufficient guidance to make the invention claimed herein. Therefore, the Squirrell disclosure in view of Webster's Dictionary definitions of "microorganism" and "eukaryote" previously rendered the present invention obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,292 Page 7

Art Unit: 1654

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise N. Leary whose telephone number is (703) 308-3533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

For 24 hour access to patent application information, 7 days a week, or for filing patent applications electronically, please visit our website at <a href="www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a> and click on the button "Patent Electronic Business Center" for more information.

LOUISE N. LEARY PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 13, 2003